

## GOING MESSAGE/ADMIN FORM

R/REVIS C02021

(ADDRESSES) _____		(PRECEDENCE)	SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS:	
STAT	ALL BUREAUS (except SA)	Z _____	DRAFTED BY: <span style="border: 1px solid black; display: inline-block; width: 100px; height: 1.2em; vertical-align: middle;"></span>	WSS DUTY OFCR: _____
	FL _____ NC _____	O _____	30 JUL 85	
	PA _____ AB _____	P _____	CONCURRENCES:	COMM. OPERATOR
	SK _____ GF _____	R <u>X</u> _____	_____	_____
	OW _____ LD <u>X</u> _____		_____	
	BK _____ PY _____		_____	
	HK _____ SA _____		RELEASED BY: <span style="border: 1px solid black; display: inline-block; width: 100px; height: 1.2em; vertical-align: middle;"></span>	
STAT	AU _____ MB _____			
	JN _____ DW _____			
	TA _____			
	BBC _____ PMU _____ MWR _____ TAB _____			

NON-FBIS \_\_\_\_\_

MESSAGE BFN: \_\_\_\_\_ (COMCENTER USE ONLY)

WA 

REF LD318. YES, I THINK THIS FLAGGING WILL BECOME SECOND NATURE AFTER AWHILE, REGARDLESS OF THE USIA GUIDELINES. I UNDERSTAND USIA KNEW AN INITIATIVE OF THIS SORT WAS COMING ON THE PART OF THE SOVIETS SO IT WASN'T ENTIRELY NEW TO THEM, BUT I GUESS WE'LL JUST HAVE TO FLAG ANY NEW MAJOR SOVIET PROPAGANDA EFFORT. BETTER TO OVERDO FOR AWHILE RATHER THAN UNDERDO. USIA IN ANY CASE SAW OUR FLAG. I THINK ANY STATEMENT BY GORBACHEV OR MAJOR OFFICIAL CAN BE CONSIDERED A COMMENTARY. I THINK I WOULD HAVE ALSO FLAGGED LD291937 TASS 291927 (TASS CORRESPONDENT REPORT) AS THE FIRST SOVIET COMMENT ON THE U.S. PROPOSAL FOR SOVIET OBSERVERS AT U.S. NUCLEAR TESTS. PROBABLY YOU SHOULD CONSIDER AS "SIGNIFICANT" ANY U.S.-SOVIET CONTROVERSIES, PARTICULARLY IN THE ARMS AREA.